

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/034,826 12/28/2001 Garrett Holmes **DKT 00054A** 1464 (BWI-00055) **EXAMINER** . 7590 10/18/2004 Patent Docket Administrator DONOVAN, LINCOLN D

BorgWarner Inc. 3001 West Big Beaver Rd. - Suite 200 P.O. Box 5060 Troy, MI 48007-5060

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2832

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Application No. Applicant(s)	
10/034,826 HOLMES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit	
Lincoln Donovan 2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n.
Status	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 June 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits	s
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7 and 9-22</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/034,826

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 5 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perach [US 4,538,645] in view of Hamilton et al. [US 5,707,039] and Miki et al. [US 5,135,027].

Regarding claim 1, Perach discloses a control valve assembly comprising:

- a housing [40] defining an internal chamber therein;
- an electromagnetic coil [22] wound on a bobbin [38] coaxially mounted within the housing;
- an axially movable armature [72], having first and second ends, mounted in the internal chamber;
- an actuation member [74] extending from the armature;
- a pole piece [46] operably associated with the armature;
- a valve manifold [12] including control passages [16, 18];
- first and second valve seats [figure 3];
- a valve [66] positioned for selectively sealing on the first or second valve seats:
- a spring [76] for biasing the armature; and

Application/Control Number: 10/034,826

Art Unit: 2832

control means [column 5, line 57-column 6, line 14].

Perach discloses the instant claimed invention except for a flux tube partially surrounding the armature, the valve using a ball cooperating with the actuator operable between a supply side seat and an exhaust side seat.

Hamiliton et al. disclose a hydraulic solenoid having an armature [41] interacting with a flux tube [33].

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the flux tube design with the pole piece of Perach, as suggested by Hamilton et al., for the purpose of increasing activation force.

Claims 4-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perach, as modified, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Barkhimer et al. [US 5,752,689].

Perach, as modified, disclose the instant claimed invention except for the valve being a ball valve.

Miki et al. disclose a solenoid valve assembly having a ball type valve [68, figure 4b] operable between a supply side seat [66a] and an exhaust side seat [66c].

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use a ball type valve design of Barkhimer et al. for the valve of Perach, as modified, for the purpose of maintaining a superior seal.

Regarding claims 2 and 6-7, the specific control functions, bias states and valve positioning would have been an obvious design consideration dependent upon the specific application of the hydraulic valve.

-Application/Control Number: 10/034,826

Art Unit: 2832

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd

Application/Control No. 10/034,826 Application/Control No. 10/034,826 Examiner Lincoln Donovan Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination HOLMES ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,135,027	08-1992	Miki et al.	137/596.17
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	→ Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					·

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

